

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,481	08/24/2006	Takashi Yamamoto	1011350-000379	8950	
21839 7590 64/02/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MULCAHY, PETER D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590.481 YAMAMOTO ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1796 /Peter D. Mulcahy/ All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) /Peter D. Mulcahy/, (2) Shruti Costales. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 28 March 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 7-12. Identification of prior art discussed: n/a. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner clarified the record by indicating that claims 7-12 are subjected to the same grounds of rejection as applied to claims 1-6 in the action mailed 1/15/08. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

//Peter D. Mulcahy//
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

5.3. Faults and International Interview Summary Paper No. 20080328